

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/829,164	Applicant(s) GIRT ET AL.	
	Examiner Holly Rickman	Art Unit 1773	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Holly Rickman, Exr.

(3) _____

 (2) Raj Dave, Atty.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

all pending

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**HOLLY RICKMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claims 12 and 15-20 are in condition for allowance but newly added claims 23-33 are directed to patentably distinct species that are non-elected by original presentation. The examiner proposed canceling claims 23-33 by examiner's amendment in order to put the case in condition for allowance. Applicant asked that the examiner send out an Office action making this position of record.